SINGLE BENCH

CF1005

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTSIGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P (S). No 1972 /2006

PETITIONER

: Chedilal Chouhan s/o Late Sahas Ram Chouhan, Age 58 years, Compounder Additional P.H.C.. Urba. Development Block, Tamnar, r/o Indira Nagar, Tamnar, District Raigarh, C.G.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

: 1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Health Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur.

2. Director, Health Service, Government of Chhattisgarh, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, C.G.

3. ,Chief Medical and Health Officer, Raigarh, District Raigarh, C.G.

4. Assistant Surgeon, Additional P.H.C. Urba, Development Block Tamnar, District Raigarh, C.G.

P.R. No. 4984/06
Presented by Shri A Kapasad
2/9/06

RECEIVED-COPY
7/9/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

(30)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. (s) No. 4972 of 2006

Petitioner — Chedilal Chouhan

Versus

Respondents — State of Chhattisgarh & others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Shri A.K. Prasad, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Ajay Dwivedi, Panel lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(11th September, 2006)

The following order of the Court is passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. In the present petition, the petitioner was transferred from Primary Health Centre, Urba (Tamnar) to Primary Health Centre, Bahirkela (Gharghoda) on administrative ground by order dated 6th July, 2006 (Annexure P/1) passed by the Chief Medical & Health Officer, Raigarh.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner without pressing other points seeks leave of this Court to pursue the representation pending before the Chief Medical & Health Officer, Raigarh (Annexure P/4), to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents has no objection.

(31)

3. The Chief Medical & Health Officer, Raigarh is accordingly directed to consider case of the petitioner, keeping in view his physical health and decide the same, in accordance with law, on its own merit, within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

4. With the aforesaid observations, this petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu